EAST CHICAGO WATERWAY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING

2

3

4

1

Transcript of the meeting of the East Chicago Waterway Management District had on the 17th day of April, 2013, at 5:05 p.m., at the East Chicago City Annex Building, 4444 Railroad Avenue, East Chicago, Indiana.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

APPEARANCES:

MR. JOHN FEKETE, Board President

MR. ERNEST JONES, Board Vice-President

MR. JOHN BAKOTA, Board Member

MR. RAY LOPEZ, Board Member

MR. HENRY RODRIGUEZ, Board Member

MR. MIKE EBERT, Board Member

MS. ELLEN GREGORY, Board Attorney

MR. FERNANDO TREVINO, Executive Director

MR. TIM ANDERSON, Clifton Larson Allen

MR. MIKE NGUYEN, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

MR. DAVID ALONZO, BMO Harris Bank

DR. RICHARD WHITMAN, United States Geological Survey

20

MS. MARGARET BYRNE, Lake Michigan Coastal Program

21

22

TRANSCRIBED BY: Mary L. Slafindor

23

SLAFINDOR COURT REPORTING 315 South Court Street Crown Point, IN 46307 Telephone: 219-662-4060 Facsimile: 219-662-2529

25

1	MR. FEKETE: I'd like to call to
2	order the April 17th meeting of the East Chicago
3	Waterway Management District Board of Directors.
4	We'll have a roll call. John
5	Bakota?
6	MR. BAKOTA: Here.
7	MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez?
8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Here.
9	MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?
10	MR. LOPEZ: Here.
11	MR. FEKETE: Mike Ebert?
12	MR. EBERT: Here.
13	MR. FEKETE: Ernie Jones?
14	MR. JONES: Here.
15	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, here.
16	Rich Gomez? Okay, we have a quorum with six members.
17	The first order of business is the
18	consulting engineering report.
19	MR. TREVINO: I'd like to start off
20	Fernando Trevino with FMT Consulting. I'd like to
21	start off by summarizing the items in your board packet.
22	You have in your packet the minutes for the March 20th,
23	2013 board meeting for approval consideration.
24	You have in your packet the Clifton
25	Larson Allen financial report dated March 31st, 2013.

And the claim docket for today, April 17th, 2013

You have in your packet a summary of the 2013 user fee collections to date, and that's dated today's date, April 17th, 2013. And you also have 3 deposit memos along with that, March 26th, March 28th and April 12th.

You have in your packet modifications of contract for professional services with court reporter, Mary Slafindor.

You have in your packet a copy of Resolution 2013-3 regarding executive director for the Waterway Management District. And that's on the agenda for approval consideration.

You have in your packet Resolution 2013-2(A) and also 2013-2(B). 2(A) was approved during the first reading at the last board meeting. And 2(B) has been slightly revised in the sense that there was a -- one of the entities that was in the resolution was incorrect. So that's been corrected in the 2(B) version.

You have in your packet Citizens

Bank statement dated 3-29-2013 for account ending in 608 and 616.

You have the ECI Facility Trust
Report dated 4-16-2013 from BMO Harris. You have in

your packet a Harris date of notice 4-4 of 2013 for account ending in 858. And another BMO Harris Bank report dated for period of March, 2013 for account ending in 761 and 712.

1.5

You have in your packet statements from Peoples Bank regarding the tipping fee escrow account and the dredging escrow account. And both of them are for the month of March, 2013.

You have in your packet an IRS notice dated April 8, 2013 that I'm working with Mr. Tim Anderson to respond.

You have in your packet the Army Corps' board report for today dated April 17th, 2013. And a couple Army Corps letters, one dated March 15th, 2013, March 29th, 2013, April 9th, 2013. And you have an email from the Army Corps requesting comments to their driveway construction into the CDF. I have forwarded that to the appropriate city department heads to see if they have any comments.

You have in your packet Attorney Ellen Gregory's report dated April 12th, 2013.

You have in your packet a letter dated March 22nd, 2013, from myself to *The Times* regarding Mr. Steve Zabroski, along with the actual print statement in *The Times*.

You have in your packet an Arcadis report dated April 1st, 2013 -- 2 of them dated April 1st, 2013. One is for the progress report for November of 2012 and the other is for December, 2012:

You have in your packet a spreadsheet that I put together to track the manifests that we've signed. So that's the list that I've signed on behalf of the District this year. And also attached is the latest one I signed, which was April 9th, ending in 862.

That concludes the items in your board packet.

The Jeorse Park project, as a reminder, an amended resolution to support the Jeorse Park modeling study is on the agenda for a second reading. Board members have asked for a presentation on details of the project and an opportunity to ask questions, and that presentation is on today's agenda, as well as a second reading of the amended resolution.

User fees, as an update. I mentioned there's a spreadsheet in your packet. We have a meeting scheduled later next week, I believe, with Boyce (phonetics). Tim Anderson and I will be discussing their software abilities regarding the management of the user fees. And also, as a reminder,

the April 1st deadline for the 2013 has come and passed. So on the agenda I'd like to give an update on what our thoughts are to pursue those users who haven't paid yet.

Ellen and I had a conference call on March 21st with the attorney general regarding their assistance in our collection of user fees. So there's some follow-up information that we need to provide, and we'll be providing that information to them.

Regarding the Great Lakes Legacy
Act, I have received comments back from the EPA
regarding the application that I drafted. A follow-up
meeting is scheduled tomorrow with EPA regarding that
proposal and schedule details.

Some of the ongoing regular meetings that I attend on behalf of the District include the canal oil response meetings, the Corps contractor dredging project and the BP biweekly meetings.

The Corps held their dredging project public meeting on April 3rd. Board members that were able to attend included Henry Rodriguez, Ray Lopez and Mr. John Bakota.

Manifests for the off-site disposal materials for the south tank farm continue to be provided to me for signature, so as I get those I'll review and sign accordingly.

I had a meeting today with the folks from the -- I'm not sure what the right term is for the department -- but it's for the website that we've been working on, and it's with the state. So I'm providing additional information they requested. So hopefully we'll have a website up and running in the next month or 2.

Herbie Cruz has been working with INDOT on communication efforts regarding the operation of the canal bridges.

On your agenda is the approval to post a request for proposals for a strategic planning consultant.

And as a reminder, there's a

Partners for Clean Air luncheon that is scheduled

tomorrow at the Hammond Lost Marsh, for those that have

registered. And that concludes my report.

MR. FEKETE: Any questions for Mr.

Trevino?

(NO RESPONSE).

MR. FEKETE: Thank you very much.

We have modified our agenda from typically what we do in the past, because of some folks that are here to assist in the presentation of the Jeorse Park Beach modeling study. And we want to get them on early so that they

can meet either later commitments, or get home in due time, since I know they've traveled some distance. This is a copy of what we emailed. I believe all the board members have a copy. I have some extra copies, if anybody wants to follow along, of the application. Are you going to use this basically as your talking piece?

DR. WHITMAN: I think I'm just going to start talking and review where we're at and where we're going.

MR. TREVINO: Can you introduce

11 yourself?

DR. WHITMAN: Yeah, my name is
Richard Whitman; I'm from the United States Geological
Survey. Up in here by the area we intend to work in, it
spreads from the outer harbor all the way to Jeorse
Park, including this fishery here at this location.

A lot has happened since I first presented to you the material. I don't know how many times, I've lost count, but it's probably been about 5 times that I've made that presentation. And every time we've made that presentation, John has been there and the mayor of East Chicago has been there almost all the times. Not just listening, but active form of inputs, and his opinion and guidance.

We've gone to NIRPC meetings. We've

gone to what we call federal partnerships where we have
Fish and Wildlife, Corps of Engineers, the ag
departments and the like. And I can tell you the
support from all the bureaus are enormous, from the
local to the federal. And everybody wants to throw in.
And I want to reiterate how appreciative these
communities are to the willingness of this group to
match the federal funds that the state's putting up,
which ultimately comes from (inaudible), as you know.

The match is coming -- USGS does not use our match. We would, but because it's federal dollars as the non-federal match, and so the 40 that you will presumably consider tonight will be the non-federal match.

In addition to that money, my own agency will put in \$75,000, and we're going to redo it a little bit so that it's focused on the restoration rather than monitoring. We'll move more quickly.

On top of that -- and I put this proposal away, because I think this is more interesting if you look at the whole package. The Corps of Engineers has put this as a priority item as a restoration. The Corps is not in the ecosystem restoration division. It's not in the business of building beaches. But they are in the business of

restoring habitat that has been damaged. And the 2 areas that they can ride on is this area in here (indicating). And then more directly, the fishery, fishing opportunities and access. There is a lot of interest. When we went out there with the Corps, I think there were — we were only there about 20 minutes — cohos, cods. I guess it's pretty popular, gets a little congested. The Corps would like to improve that location. And in doing so, they have included the beach as well.

So that's going up to the CLC, which is the -- I think it's right -- the Council of Lakes Committee. We have a DNR representative, that I'm assured that we'll get a positive consideration from them, either at the moderate level priority, which is enough to get by. So that's a big contribution.

Okay. So in the last couple weeks
I've looked at our data for various reasons. And I can
assure you that what I'm seeing with the Corps visit, as
well as the bacteria analysis that there is a connection
between the Grand Calumet and that beach. When we were
out there last week looking at the fisheries
improvement, the area was littered with cattail debris.
So that tells you then the type of bacteria that we're
looking is called bacteroids is only found in human or

animal intestines. And there is a constant supply of that in the beach area. That doesn't necessarily mean we have a pollution problem. Bacteroid, by the way, dies in the environment. And so we're only using it as a tracer, not as a pathogen.

The issue in front of you tonight is a contract with the Michigan State University. So I'm just representing them, that entity. And I will work with them and the money that I'm bringing to the table I'll be matching and our people will be sampling, we'll be sharing equipment and so forth. So we'll have a seamless relationship with MSU, as we do with many other projects with MSU. Patty didn't come in here; he came to a couple other meetings. There will be some students a little bit disappointed because they already started working on this project. And they had to, because of the time table that has been given to them.

So the preferred -- according to the mayor, the preferred alternative at this early stage is to build out that beach onto -- make it more curved instead of a cube, perhaps as far as this (indicating), without compromising the fishery. There's some really great fishing holes in there. Can you see it?

MR. FEKETE: If you look at page 5

on the handout, it's Remedial Scenario Number 1.

pr. WHITMAN: Thank you. So we're getting rid of the cul-de-sac. We're trying to get rid of the cul-de-sac, which is trapping the debris. And you have to do that, again, in a way that we don't fill up some of those really nice fishing holes that are out there.

So the Corps has explained to us they keep the sand intact from drifting in, and losing sand every year. There will be some erosion, but I don't think -- in fact, what I've heard from people is that in the springtime there's excess sand. So they would just go in and plow. The mayor has volunteered to do more grooming and raking of the materials, and that's going to help. So there will be some management taking place even this year.

Now, Patty tells me that the only thing -- well, let me go on. So there's a couple other scenarios that are being considered, but we won't be able to get to them this year. They'll probably be 2014. Also, the fisheries component. The Corps' activities probably are going to be budgeted for '14.

The other scenario is to put some kind of a break wall there, or reef, to catch it before.

MR. FEKETE: That's Scenario Number

1 3 on page 72 MR. LOPEZ: Can we get back to page 3 6, you were talking about removing the wall? 4 That's one scenario. MR. FEKETE: 5 I haven't gotten there DR. WHITMAN: 6 yet. 7 That was Scenario MR. FEKETE: 8 Number 2, removing the wall. 9 DR. WHITMAN: Number 6, okay, now that I have the picture in front of me. Yeah, this is 10 something Patty just grabbed, I had my technician draw. 11 This isn't the way the sand would look, this right here 12 13 (indicating), Figure 5. After a few weeks, that would 14 probably be redefined by the lake itself. So it's just 15 conceptually. So they picked that right up, as soon as 16 we showed them that picture. 17 MR. FEKETE: Ray's asking the one that's right below that, which is basically removing the walls around --19 20 DR. WHITMAN: Yeah. That's a scenario we don' believe will do. Go ahead. 21 22 MR. LOPEZ: The water will get 23 (inaudible).

THE COURT REPORTER:

I'm sorry, I

24

25

can't hear.

MR. TREVINO: Can you speak up for the court reporter.

MR. LOPEZ: I said the boats
wouldn't like that, the casino wouldn't want the water
hitting their boats.

DR. WHITMAN: Right, right. And it would cause sedimentation in that channel. And the truth is, we just drew these out as possible. And I'm not sure -- and actually, the Corps' biologist, he's not an engineer; he suggested the same thing. And I don't think that there's enough head difference there to really make much difference.

But those are the hydrological questions. And we'll do some more experiments and we'll do some brainstorming. So the one that I wanted to focus on tonight is to change the contour of the sand. It's economical, it makes sense. And the other ones were in rough, and we'll see if there's any hydrodynamic behind it. And again, if you have thoughts on any modification, we'd appreciate it. We can only run probably 3 scenarios with the money that we have.

MR. BAKOTA: I certainly applaud this project. But early on when this board was talking to Army Corps before dredging started, there's 3 sanitary districts that dump into the Grand Calumet

River.

2

1

That's right. DR. WHITMAN:

3 4 MR. BAKOTA:

sewer systems. I asked early on if they couldn't put a

They all have combined

5

sediment trap in the narrows; that would be Columbus

6

Drive south, where the current could be controlled.

7

DR. WHITMAN: Yeah.

8

MR. BAKOTA: They hemmed and hawed

9

about it. Evidently it was a money problem; I don't

10

So they determined that the sediment trap would

11

be the actual shipping canal, which is very wide and I

12

think uncontrollable, as far as silt reaching the lake.

13

Like I say, I applaud this project, but we're attacking

14

the results rather than where it actually originates. I

15

can't compare oranges and apples. I'm sure a sediment

16

trap would be way more expensive than this study.

17

going to dilute the problem there so it's not ours, and

What I'm saying is, we're either

Let me address a

18 19

push it out somewhere else, when it should be controlled

20

at the source.

21

couple of those points. We did samples pretty much

22

throughout last summer. And the bacteria levels, E.

DR. WHITMAN:

24

23

coli levels just above the channel are fairly low. What

25

happens to that material, this comes into deeper water,

it goes fast, then slow. So it's a sediment trap.

What's causing the bacteria problem at Jeorse Park is
not sewage. We're not getting much human E. coli. It's
coming from those birds. And the debris that is
accumulating is floating and drifting material that's
coming from upstream, and then the river as well, has
stuff drifting down and then getting caught in the
swirl.

Now, as far as a sediment trap and whether the harbor is acting as a sediment trap, there's no evidence that that's occurring. But we don't have any strong evidence -- today might be different -- but during normal flows, that we're actually adding human sewage to Jeorse Park. And if I did, I wouldn't be here suggesting these kinds of remediations.

MR. BAKOTA: Does DNA show that it's animal rather than human?

pr. WHITMAN: Right, right. We're getting less than one percent human. So if you went out there during the summer and saw all the geese and the ducks and the gulls, you'd see what the problem was. What we're trying to do is just keep the water flowing. Again, if we just move from here to here (indicating), you get almost a doubling of improvement in water quality.

MR. TREVINO: Doctor, one of the other questions that's come up from board members is the concern of investing these dollars on a study and then it doesn't lead to any remediation or any follow-up.

Can you address that concern?

DR. WHITMAN: Yeah. I think we can turn that around too, and say that to throw money at the remediation without any preliminary study would be unwise to do so. So I believe -- I'm a biologist. I do believe in these models beforehand. But I do that because they pretty much have convinced me they explain some of the phenomena I've seen on the ground.

I think it's -- I don't mean to sound like I'm selling something, but I think it's a fair deal to do this kind of model with this kind of expertise. You're getting a post-doc and a professor from a major university. And I'd be reluctant to spend some money before I tried it on a computer.

MR. TREVINO: And generally speaking, I think it makes sense, because then you're doing a remediation with luck, so to speak, hoping it works.

DR. WHITMAN: Right. And let me add that my part, that 75,000 that I told you, is the validation. We're not just like okay, here's a computer

model, we know that it's going to work. No. We're going to validate this thing. We're going to take samples during different flow conditions. And this, I hope what happens, is that I'm there for the follow-up as well to make sure it works. Any time we do anything in nature and we don't do a follow-up and validate the efficacy of the action, we've lost dollars.

MR. FEKETE: One of the things in the application that I believe was a question that may have come from the coastal program, was the effect of this particular study out into the future. In other words, what value does it bring over a 20-year time frame? And I think the response was pretty good that the study is not going to last 20 years, but the study is going to take some period of time. But the results of the study and the modeling will be used for a long time to come.

DR. WHITMAN: Oh, yeah.

other alternatives and other proposals like the reef
that we see here, and anything else that may come about,
at least you've got the groundwork from this particular
study to continue doing that kind of work and evaluating
any changes that may take place.

And from our perspective, it also

gives us a basis in case any questions come up from someplace other than Jeorse Park. What effect does the Indiana Harbor ship canal have on other areas that might be to the west of us? So we've got the basis of a study that we can go back and maybe enhance or amend and continue that kind of work. Right now, the focus is going to be on the Jeorse Park.

you have protection from liabilities and the future planning. And I'll extend this beyond Jeorse Park. Half the beaches in the United States are suffering from this. I think I pointed out some Chicago beaches. So you're taking the lead in helping other beaches across the nation that have these situations.

MR. LOPEZ: Well, I'm speaking as a citizen now and a resident of East Chicago. I take my grandkids there, and it's so frustrating when it's always closed for pollution. Move down the beach, they say. But there's a lot of people there. This is going to start. I hope we can get something going here, and then everybody else jumps on it, because it's going to benefit everybody. Not only us, but other people around there.

I like some of your stuff here, but I'm not a biologist or whatever. But I just hope you

guys get something going on this. I don't want to see 2 10 years from now we're still talking about it. 3 DR. WHITMAN: It's really exciting for us to be a part of this. Everybody just seems to 4 5 share your excitement. 6 MR. FEKETE: Thank you very much. 7 MR. JONES: Excuse me, one quick 8 question. I was looking at your 3 scenarios, and your 9 Scenario 3, underwater reef. 10 DR. WHITMAN: Yes-11 MR. JONES: Would that be 12 obstructive to like recreational vessels at all? 13 DR. WHITMAN: We hope not, no. 14 hopefully don't have to put flags and obstructions. 15 would hope that it would be efficient (inaudible). 16 no. Again, if we did a reef, we'd have to find the 17 money to do that, so that's the other end. 18 MR. FEKETE: I want to thank Dr. 19 Whitman for coming and joining us. And at this point in 20 time, I'd like to ask Margaret Byrne, who represents the 21 Lake Michigan Coastal Program. 22 MS. BYRNE: Indiana Department of 23 Natural Resources, Lake Michigan Coastal Program.

We

We

Yeah, we've amended our

1

24

25

MR. FEKETE:

resolution to use those words rather than the DNR.

I would just like if you could just, since you're a funding partner, kind of explain your role in this process.

questions?

MS. BYRNE: So yeah, as you said, we're the funding partner. I'm the grant specialist with the Lake Michigan Coastal Program. So basically, what I wanted to let you know is that we've received this application a couple of weeks ago from Michigan State University. And the Coastal Program has agreed to fund this program in the amount of \$40,000, provided that there is 40,000 of matching funds.

That's pretty much it. Any

MR. FEKETE: And I think in addition to that, that Maggie and her organization, not only are we relying on them on the grant application expertise, because that's not what we do. But in addition to that, they have other resources. I'm thinking somebody like Steve Davis and people like that that you can reach out to who can give us, and has probably already reviewed the project, and give additional insights into the validity of the proposal.

ms. BYRNE: Absolutely. The partnership, the level of cooperation and collaboration on this project, on assembly of the project, has been

pretty phenomenal. And that has been a big recommending 1 2 factor in funding this project. And I would say that 3 everybody is 100 percent behind improving things. DR. WHITMAN: This proposal, I was 5 surprised, it was thoroughly reviewed by the Corps of Engineers and a couple other partners. 6 7 MR. FEKETE: I want to thank both of 8 you for coming. At this point in time, are there any 9 other questions? 10 (NO RESPONSE) 11 MR. FEKETE: Hearing none, we'd like 12 to move onto the next item, which is actually the 13 approval of the amended resolution. And the amendment 14 was simply to replace the reference to the DNR, the 15 Indiana Department of Natural Resources, and insert 16 instead, the Lake Michigan Coastal Program, just to be 17 more accurate. 18 MR. LOPEZ: Motion to accept. 19 MR. FEKETE: I have a motion to 20 accept. I need a second. 21 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second. 22 MR. FEKETE: We have a second. 23 We'll have a roll call vote. John Bakota? 24 MR. BAKOTA: Yes.

MR. FEKETE:

Henry Rodriguez?

1	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
2	MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?
3	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.
4	MR. FEKETE: Mike Ebert?
5	MR. EBERT: Yes.
6	MR. FEKETE: Ernie Jones?
7	MR. JONES: Yes.
8	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, I vote
9	yes. Okay, that motion has been approved. Thank you
10	very much.
11	For the record, do you mind if I
12	give them a copy of the resolution?
13	MR. TREVINO: No, I think that would
14	be good.
15	MR. FEKETE: Do you have one?
16	MR. TREVINO: A blank one?
17	MR. FEKETE: What we just approved,
18	so that Maggie's got it on file.
19	MR. TREVINO: I can email it to her,
20	but here's a blank one. We haven't signed it yet.
21	MR. FEKETE: That's an unsigned
22	copy, but we did approve it.
23	MS. BYRNE: Thank you.
24	MR. TREVINO: Is your email address
25	on the sign-in sheet?

1	MS. BYRNE: It is, yes.
2	MR. TREVINO: Okay.
3	MR. FEKETE: Just to remind
4	everybody, we did get a letter from the commissioner of
5	IDEM supporting and thanking us for supporting this
6	project. So we've got a number of agencies that are
7	involved.
8	DR. WHITMAN: I would like a copy of
9	that.
10	MR. FEKETE: Pardon?
11	DR. WHITMAN: I wouldn't mind having
12	a copy of that
13	MR. FEKETE: Of the commissioner's
14	letter, I can email you a copy.
15	DR. WHITMAN: Okay.
16	MR. FEKETE: Next item on the
17	agenda, approval consideration of the board meeting
18	notes for March 20th. Do I have a motion to approve?
19	MR. LOPEZ: Motion to accept.
20	MR. EBERT: Second.
21	MR. FEKETE: We have a motion and a
22	second. Since they're minutes, I'll just ask for a
23	voice vote. All in favor signify by saying yes.
24	THE BOARD: (Collective "yes").
25	MR. FEKETE: Any opposition,

abstentions?

2

1

(NO RESPONSE)

3

MR. FEKETE: Hearing none, motion is

4

approved.

5

User fee update.

6

MR. TREVINO: Yes. As I mentioned,

7

2013 user for collections to date. One was put together

you have in your packet a spreadsheet summarizing the

8

2013 user fee collections to date. One was put together

9

by myself; the other by Mr. Tim Anderson. And as a

1 ^

follow-up to the April 1st due date for the user fees

11

that have not been collected, we plan on submitting a

12

notice of past due amounts to those users who haven't

13

paid by May 1st. And the interest will be calculated

14

through May 31st, and we'll give them to June 15th to

15

pay. So that's our current plans regarding the folks

16

that haven't paid the 2013 assessments.

17

And as I mentioned, Tim Anderson and I have a meeting with Boyce to review their software

18 19

capabilities for managing the user fees. And we also

20

had a meeting with the attorney general's office to see

2122

if they could help us with the collection process for

23

those that haven't paid at all. And Ellen and I will be

20

following up on that. And that's the update on the user

24

MR. FEKETE: Any questions on user

25

fees

fees?

2

1

(NO RESPONSE).

MR. ANDERSON:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

MR. FEKETE: The accounting

MR. ANDERSON: Hello. Tim Anderson

from Clifton Larson Allen. Try to keep this quick.

MR. FEKETE: State your name for the

record, please.

consultant report, Tim.

Yes, Tim Anderson from Clifton Larson Allen. You have my report in your packet. The first page at the top, Statement of Cash Receipts and Cash Disbursements. This is for the 3 months ended March 31st. And you see the user fees is the big item, \$442,000 through March. We had invoiced out 536, including past due fees and we've collected 442 through March. So there's 93 left out there. Of that 93, 51 of that is 2013 fees and 41 is old stuff. are a couple that have ignored us, but I thought collections were going pretty good. We've got 442 through March, which brings our total cash receipts through March to \$679,000. Total disbursements through

March you see listed, legal, engineering, accounting.

So our cash has increased in our 2 checking accounts

from January by 613,000. So we have on hand on March

31st, \$384,000 in our operating account. And 1 million

477 in the user fee account.

If you flip the page, I've got some supplemental information. Just the first page, Schedule 1 just shows each account. Beginning balance as of January of '13 and it shows you all of the money that's gone in and the disbursements that have been made out of the accounts. And it all ties back to that schedule we just looked at:

And then the last page of my report is what we've got budgeted and what we've spent to date for each line in the budget. Fernando, or you guys, the board, did a budget that had \$427,00 in expenses on it. We get to add the December 31st payables to that number, for our total appropriation of 451. Then you can see each month what we spent. And then that last column is what we've got last. And that what we've got left number is what we've got in our operating account, 384,000.

MR. TREVINO: And as a reminder, the 2013 budget year is the first year we modified the line items to directly match the line items as approved by the board. So it's a lot easier to follow. So I thank Tim again for helping us amend that:

MR. ANDERSON: Do you guys have questions on the statements or the user fees or anything

else? On the user fees, I think we've collected 87 percent of the 2013 assessments, so people paid pretty good for '13. And then we've got some old balances out there that Fernando and Ellen are going to work on. I thought the collection efforts went pretty good.

MR. LOPEZ: That number 5 on your chart, they haven't paid anything at all?

have not. It's number 5 and number 22 who've never made an attempt. Everyone else has paid something over the years. So we just have 2 that have never responded.

MR. LOPEZ: It keeps getting up and up and up.

MR. ANDERSON: Yeah, I'm tough on that interest.

MR. LOPEZ: The figures are easy to see. I like the way you put them. They can always refer back to each other. Good. 87 percent collected.

MR. ANDERSON: Yeah, we billed 404 for '13, and we collected of that 404, strictly for '13, we've collected 352 of the 404. Because these totals have prior year balances. So I think 87 percent on the first shot is pretty good. And then like Fernando said, we'll send out another bill in May for those. But we've only got 93 out there, so I think it went pretty good.

1 MR. LOPEZ: Thank you so much. 2 MR. ANDERSON: Do you want to talk 3 about that IRS notice at all? 4 Yeah, there was a MR. TREVINO: 5 question on that. 6 MR. ANDERSON: Yeah, we got an IRS 7 notice. It was asking us for some tax on interest for 2011. And the Waterway Management District is exempt under Code Section 115, because it's a government entity, where all of its income is exempt and they filed 10 for years claiming this exemption. And then in 2011, 11 12 they picked up. I called an agent who said send the 13 notice with the tax return and an explanation that you 14 qualify under 115 and they would adjust it, so that's 15 what we're doing. And I'll get Fernando a copy and he 16 can get it to all of you. 17 They'll probably be MR. BAKOTA: 18 doing that every year now. 19 Nothing gets your MR. ANDERSON: heart going like an IRS notice. 20 21 Okay, we have the MR. FEKETE: 22 accounts payable voucher register before us.

MR. LOPEZ: Motion to accept.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second.

entertain a motion to pay the voucher.

23

24

1	MR. FEKETE: We have a motion and a
2	second. Roll call vote. John Bakota?
3	MR. BAKOTA: Yes.
4	MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez?
5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
6	MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?
7	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.
8	MR. FEKETE: Mike Ebert?
9	MR. EBERT: Yes.
10	MR. FEKETE: Ernie Jones?
11	MR. JONES: Yes.
12	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, I vote
13	yes. Motion is approved.
14	The next item of business is the
15	amendment to the court reporter contractor.
16	MR. TREVINO: Yes, just to
17	summarize. There was a request I think at the last
18	board meeting, or the one before, for copies of board
19	meeting notes. And there was some questions as to the
20	ownership of the meeting notes after they've been
21	produced and paid for, and the amended contract
22	MS. GREGORY: The minutes.
23	MR. TREVINO: The minutes.
24	MS. GREGORY: The minutes of the
25	meeting.

1	MR. TREVINO: I'm not sure what I
2	said, but okay.
3	MS. GREGORY: You said notes.
4	MR. TREVINO: Meeting notes,
5	minutes. So the amended contract clarifies that.
6	MR. FEKETE: I'll entertain a
7	motion.
8	MR. LOPEZ: Motion to accept:
9	MR. FEKETE: And a second?
10	MR. JONES: Second.
11	MR. FEKETE: We have a motion and a
12	second. We'll have a roll call vote. John Bakota?
13	MR. BAKOTA: Yes.
14	MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez?
15	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
16	MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?
17	MR. LOPEZ: Here.
18	MR. FEKETE: Mike Ebert?
19	MR. EBERT: Yes.
20	MR. FEKETE: Ernie Jones?
21	MR. JONES: Yes.
22	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, I vote
23	yes.
24	MR. TREVINO: And just as a
25	clarification, or an added note, I did send this to Mary

for review, and she was okay with it.

MR. FEKETE: Thank you, Mary. The next item on the agenda for consideration is an RFP for strategic planning consultant. Mr. Trevino, do you want to bring us up to date?

MR. TREVINO: Yes, this is actually an action item as a follow-up to the opportunities to improve as a district. And one of the comments was to develop a strategic plan. So we would like to post requests for proposals for a strategic planning consultant to facilitate that process. So I'd like approval to post those in the 2 local newspapers.

MR. FEKETE: Any questions of Mr.

Trevino?

MR. JONES: Yes. Can you just explain some of the tasks that's going to be part of that planning process?

MR. TREVINO: We'll probably have a pre-planning meeting with whoever the selected consultant will be, so they are familiar with what the District does and how we're formed and how we're governed and so forth. And I foresee the consultant putting together, or facilitating, a strategic planning process that's tailored for our needs. And when concluded, would have a strategic plan. And I guess

this would have to go along with how the board sees this process as well. But a mission statement on where we see the District going.

And the other thing that would come out of this is whether it would be a 3-year or a 5-year strategic plan. The exact process, it's hard to state it now, because that's going to be part of what the consultant will do after we provide all the information from what we do and what we are and how we see ourselves in a few years. So the exact end result will be based on that exercise with the consultant and the board.

MR. LOPEZ: So you're asking for approval, right?

MR. TREVINO: I'm asking --

MR. LOPEZ: Because there's no

document. I didn't see no document on anything.

MR. TREVINO: No. It's approval to advertise in the paper to get proposals, requests for proposals from hopefully qualified consultants, to provide that service to us.

MR. JONES: Do you have an estimate on the total cost of this work, or a guesstimate?

MR. TREVINO: I'd say between 5 and 10,000.

MR. JONES: Okay, sounds a lot

1 better now. 2 MR. LOPEZ: I make a motion that we 3 authorize you to bid for it, or advertise for bidding. 4 MR. FEKETE: We have a motion. 5 have a second? 6 MR. EBERT: Second. 7 MR. FEKETE: And we have a second. We'll have a roll call. John Bakota? 8 9 MR. BAKOTA: Yes. 10 MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez? 11 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. 12 MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez? 13 MR. LOPEZ: Yes. 14 MR. FEKETE: Mike Ebert? 15 MR. EBERT: Yes. 16 MR. FEKETE: Ernie Jones? 17 MR. JONES: Yes. 18 MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, I vote 19 yes. And it looks as though the next item for 20 consideration is the Resolution 2013-3. The East 21 Chicago Waterway Management District executive director. 22 Mr. Trevino. 23 MR. TREVINO: Yeah. I'm wondering 24 if Ellen might be a better person to explain this.

Sure.

MS. GREGORY:

MR. FEKETE: Ms. Gregory

MS. GREGORY: Last year, we had a resolution before the board that was passed that basically makes FMT Consulting, through Fernando, the executive director for purposes of State Board of Accounts record keeping. We actually needed to have him made the executive director in order to file the reports with the state. So the resolution at that time was specific as to what his role was in terms of the State Board of Accounts record keeping.

And in discussing with him various other tasks that he was doing where he was having to serve as executive director, signing manifests and things like that, we thought it made sense to put together a resolution that would clarify that he was serving as executive director for all other purposes as well. So that's basically what this resolution does.

MR. LOPEZ: Thank you for explaining, because I was going to question it. I understand now.

MR. FEKETE: Are there any questions
of Ms. Gregory?

(NO RESPONSE).

 $\mbox{\bf MR.}$ FEKETE: I'll entertain a motion to approve the resolution.

1	MR. LOPEZ: Motion to accept.
2	MR. FEKETE: Do I have a second?
3	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second.
4	MR. BAKOTA: Second.
5	MR. FEKETE: Roll call vote. John
6	Bakota?
7	MR. BAKOTA: Yes
8	MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez?
9	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
10	MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?
11	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.
12	MR. FEKETE: Mike Ebert?
13	MR. EBERT: Yes.
14	MR. FEKETE: Ernie Jones?
15	MR. JONES: Yes.
16	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, I vote
17	yes. Motion is approved.
18	Harris Bank trust report.
19	MR. ALONZO: Hello, David Alonzo
20	with BMO Harris Bank. So I'm just going to run through
21	this report.
22	Of course, first page of the report
23	is just our normal chart showing the historical values
24	of the account since 1997, and disbursements from '97 up
25	until this year.

Page 2 of the report is the historical value summary. As of 4-16-2013, the value of the trust was \$6,131,865. That was a positive difference of \$2,547 from our last meeting. And then distributions for 2013 were \$236,975.

Page 3 of the report, again, I have highlighted here in yellow the maturity on 4-19 of this month; we have this CD that's maturing. It's a 1-year CD currently paying .24 percent. The interest or gain that we made was from interest that had been credited from our 60-month CD. We can see there was a little bit of a difference in the values from last month to this month. And then of course, our money market account paid some interest too, so there's a little bit of increase there.

investment committee meeting was, as of right now -- and these haven't changed since then -- we have a 1-year treasury note paying .11. We have a 2-year treasury note paying .23. And a 5-year note paying .695. It's still in the best interest to continue with our CDs right now. At that investment committee meeting, we pretty much agreed upon looking at our 13-month certificate of deposit, the current rates on it right now are .35. I did get approval, it's very minuscule,

but we're going to keep the rate at .4 for a 13-month CD, so it's a minor increase of .05. All things considered, it's an extra \$750 for the year for that CD, so a little bit extra. Obviously, rates are very tight right now, so it's very slim margins at this point where we can get a little bit of an increase on that.

I do have the letter of authorization. Previously we'd always have Ernie sign these, so I do have John to sign this one. The letter of authorization states, "Please consider this authorization that upon maturity of the referenced CD that is maturing on April 19th, 2013, please transfer the entire balance into a new 13-month CD," with the current rates that I had mentioned at .4. This transfer was approved at our last finance committee meeting. And then we'll have John sign it.

MR. LOPEZ: That \$50, you went by it real quick. What was that for? Was that for a fee increase?

MR. ALONZO: No, there was an increase in our money market interest that we made

MR. LOPEZ: Okay, it was an increase in the interest then?

MR. ALONZO: Yeah, the interest.

MR. LOPEZ: You went by real quick.

1	MR. ALONZO: No, we have no fees on
2	any of our accounts. So there was some interest that
3	was made on the prime money market account from the
4	previous month, and then our 60-month CD had increased
5	by about \$2,000 or so.
6	MR. LOPEZ: All right, thank you.
7	It just went by me real quick.
8	MR. ALONZO: No problem. Any
9	questions?
10	(NO RESPONSE).
11	MR. FEKETE: Thank you very much.
12	MR. TREVINO: It might not be a bad
13	idea to approve I mean, I know that the finance
14	committee is not the you know, the finance committee
15	did recommend it, but I think it should be approved by
16	the full board.
17	MR. FEKETE: Okay, we can do that.
18	Entertain a motion to approve my signing this document
19	to transfer the balance of the expiring CD into a new
20	13-month CD at the current rates.
21	MR. EBERT: So moved.
22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a motion and
23	a second. Roll call vote. John Bakota?
24	MR. BAKOTA: Yes.
il.	

MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez?

1	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes
2	MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?
3	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.
4	MR. FEKETE: Mike Ebert?
5	MR. EBERT: Yes.
6	MR. FEKETE: Ernie Jones?
7	MR. JONES: Yes.
8	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, yes. Mr.
9	Nguyen.
10	MR. NGUYEN: Hi, Mike Nguyen with
11	the Army Corps of Engineers. Here to give the briefing
12	for the Corps activity.
13	For the groundwater gradient
14	control, we are still testing and repairing the gradient
15	control facility.
16	The facility and dredging operation.
17	Dredging has resumed on April 3rd, and it's currently
18	suspended as of 13th of April due to the bridge closure.
19	And dredging will resume on April 27th, once the Dickey
20	bridge reopens.
21	The retrofit, we are finalizing the
22	scope of work for the main entrance repair and
23	developing the scope of work additional extraction wells
24	and installing the stabilizers and improving the
25	parkway

Τ	For the permits, the Corps is still
2	gathering information to submit the TSCA permits.
3	Oil boom coordination. BP
4	reinstalled the oil boom across the canal at
5	Indianapolis Boulevard on the 15th of April and will
6	remove it once dredging resumes.
7	For the PCA and other
8	documentations, we have hired a new attorney that can
9	help us moving forward with the PCA revisions and the
10	work in-kind documentations.
11	And that's all I have for my report.
12	MR. BAKOTA: Mike, on the
13	improvement of the parkway, have they established
14	anything yet?
15	MR. NGUYEN: We're still looking
16	into it. We're focusing on the dredging because it just
17	started. And the driveway is one of our priorities. I
18	think once we get through the driveway and dredging is
19	sort of smooth.
20	MR. BAKOTA: Stabilized.
21	MR. NGUYEN: Stabilized, we'll go
22	back and focus on the parkway improvement.
23	MR. BAKOTA: Thanks.
24	MR. NGUYEN: And I guess for the

25 fabric, was there any issues removing the fabric on the

fence? 1 2 MR. BAKOTA: Not yet. I haven't 3 heard any. I don't know if the other board members heard anything. Not yet. 4 5 MR. NGUYEN: Because when you pass by it, it doesn't look --6 7 Probably depends how MR. BAKOTA: 8 much you beautify. 9 MR. NGUYEN: Yes. I haven't heard 10 MR. BAKOTA: 11 anything. 12 MR. NGUYEN: Okay. 13 MR. JONES: I have a question, it's 14 not dredging related. Why was the Dickey bridge closed? 15 MR. NGUYEN: INDOT was doing some maintenance repair, so they basically closed it to all 16 navigation. So for BP who's doing their dock repair or 17 18 anything else, either they have to get their equipment

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FEKETE: Any other questions?

in -- I think there's a period of a day or 2 where

construction equipment to be transported. And then

like a week in after they closed it, to allow

reclose it and then reopen it.

they're reopening it for navigation. I believe it was

1 Thank you, Mr. Nguyen. MR. FEKETE: 2 MR. NGUYEN: Thank you. 3 Our attorney report, MR. FEKETE: 4 Ms. Gregory 5 Ellen Gregory with MS. GREGORY: 6 Ellen Gregory Law. I'm going to try and zoom through my 7 items. 8 On April 3rd, we received a compact 9 disc, a CD of documents from the Corps real estate 10 office. And Mr. Trevino and I will be reviewing those 11 in coordination with Mirko Blesich, the real estate 12 consultant, to find out what's in there. Mostly it's 13 pipeline easements and other real estate documents that 14 relate to property that was originally transferred for 15 the construction of the CDF. 16 On the Consent Decree, we're still 17 waiting for BP to provide the next draft to the group 18 that continues to have conference calls every other 19 The next scheduled call is tomorrow morning. week. 20 On the PCA, nothing much new than what Mr. Nguyen said. We are trying to put some 21 22 pressure on the Corps to move forward on the next version of the PCA. So we'll be waiting for that. 23 24 The Mittal tipping fee, although the

tipping fee agreement is done, we continue to try and

monitor to make sure that reports from the Corps are 1 2 consistent with the tipping fee agreement. Some of the 3 letters from the Corps that are in the board packet that relate to summarizing the 2012 dredging, and also the dredging estimates for the 2013 seasons, we're reviewing 6 those and we'll be communicating with the Corps on any 7 issues we have related to the Mittal tipping fee 8 agreement as it relates to the ongoing dredging. 9 that's all I have. 10 MR. FEKETE: Any questions for Ms. 11 Gregory? 12 (NO RESPONSE). 13 MR. FEKETE: Any new business? 14 (NO RESPONSE). 15 Any other business? MR. FEKETE: 16 MR. TREVINO: Under new business, if 17 you don't mind, I just thought it would be a good idea to have a moment of silence for the victims of Boston, 19 their family and friends. In their honor, I think it 20 would be a good idea to do that. 21 MR. FEKETE: Okay. 22 (MOMENT OF SILENCE). 23 MR. FEKETE: Thank you very much. 24 Any comments from the public?

(NO RESPONSE).

1	MR. FEKETE: Make sure everybody
2	signs in. Next board meeting will be May 15th at 5:00
3	p.m. at this facility. Do I have a motion for
4	adjournment?
5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: So moved.
6	MR. FEKETE: A second?
7	MR. EBERT: Second.
8	MR. FEKETE: All in favor say aye.
9	THE BOARD: (Collective "aye").
10	MR. FEKETE: We are adjourned.
11	****************
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

STATE OF INDIANA 1 SS: 2 COUNTY OF LAKE 3 4 5 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 6 I, MARY L. SLAFINDOR, Court Reporter and Notary 7 Public in and for the State of Indiana, do hereby certify that I have transcribed from my stenographic notes the above-entitled meeting of the East Chicago Waterway Management District Board of Directors Meeting. Said notes contain all of the statements made during the meeting, to the best of my ability. 10 I further certify that the foregoing transcript, as 11 prepared by me, is a full, true, correct and complete copy of said stenographic notes made in the above-12 entitled meeting. 13 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand this 8th day of May, 2013. 14 15 Court Reporter 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24